Semantic Theory 2014 – Exercise sheet 4

Manfred Pinkal

Exercises are due on Tuesday, May 20, 10:15 a.m.

3.1 Semantics of lambda expressions

Give an explicit stepwise interpretation (with respect to M and g) of

 $\lambda F[F(m^*)](\lambda x.walk(x) \lor talk(x))$

using the interpretation rules for Type Theory/ Typed Lambda Calculus. Please, no equivalence transformations or conversions, just interpretation!

3.2 Lambda expressions as lexical entries

Translate the following English words into lambda expressions:

- (a) blond (type (et, et); use blond* as the underlying first-order predicate; the translation should show the intersective character of the modifier)
- (b) altruist (type $\langle e,t \rangle$; take Sentence (j) from Exercise Sheet 1.1 as an informal definition of the predicate)
- (c) in (type $\langle e, \langle et, et \rangle \rangle$; a preposition forming a PP as in work in Saarbrücken)
- (d) someone (take the intended semantics from the lecture slides)
- (e) only (type $\langle e, \langle et, t \rangle \rangle$; to be used as in Sentence (d) from Exercise Sheet 1.1)

3.3 *and*: more lambda expressions

(a) Assume that the *and* in *Mary walks and talks* translates to a constant and'. Give the appropriate type for and', and specify the appropriate semantic interpretation $V_M(and')$. No lambda abstraction here!

(b) Translate *and* into a lambda expression that expresses the meaning information given in the interpretation from (a).

(c) Assume that *and* in *Mary and Bill* combines two type e expressions, and returns a noun phrase of type $\langle et, t \rangle$. Translate this NP-coordinating *and* into a lambda expression (which will of course be different from the one in (b).

(d) Do the same, assuming that the arguments of *and* have the standard NP type $\langle et, t \rangle$.

3.4 Lambda conversion

Translate the following sentences into expressions of Typed Lambda Calculus:

(a) [Only Bill] [works in Saarbrücken].

(b) Bill [is a [blond altruist]].

(c) Mary [walks [and talks]].

(d) [John [and Mary]] walk.

(e) [John [and Mary]] [walk [and talk]]

Use the translations for *only, blond, altruist, in* from 3.2 and for the two variants of *and* from 3.3 (b) and (d). In addition, use the following lexical entries:

 $Bill \rightarrow \lambda F.F(b^{*}): \langle et, t \rangle \qquad Mary \rightarrow \lambda F.F(m^{*}): \langle et, t \rangle$ $Saarbrücken \rightarrow sb^{*}: e \qquad work, walk, talk \rightarrow work', walk', talk': \langle e, t \rangle$ $is-a \rightarrow \lambda F.F$

Move along the syntactic structure indicated by the brackets, use function application and (multiple) lambda conversion, to arrive at the simplest possible expressions.

Hint: Use different variables for all lexical expression in a sentence (this is to avoid a variable conflict, which we will talk about next week). Download a new version of the Lecture 6 slides. I have added an example slide for lambda conversion.